

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003

		• •				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/099,742	06/18/1998	LUKE Y. CHANG	062986.0112	7813		
75	690 02/20/2003					
STEVEN J. ROCCI WOODCOCK, WASHBURN, KURTZ,MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LL ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER			
			MEHRA, INDER P			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2666		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

_		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
•	Office Action Occurrence	09/099,742		CHANG ET AL.	\mathcal{T}			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Inder P Mehr		2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 January 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is noi	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
-	Claim(s) 1-30,32 and 33 is/are pending in the	• •						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-30 and 33</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-19,23-26 and 32</u> is/are rejected	d.						
	Claim(s) 8,9 and 20-22 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) [Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 2666

Response to Amendment

1. This is in response to amendment dated 1/6/03, Amended claims 1, 3, 8, 13, 15, 20 and 27 have been entered. Further, claim 31 having been cancelled is noted.

Withdrawal of Finality of last office action

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 4-6, 13-15, and 16-18, are rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Franaszek et al** (US Patent No.5,729,228), hereinafter Franaszek in view of **Bigham** (US Patent No.5,544,161), and further in view of **Rostoker et al** (US Patent No. 5,872,784), hereinafter, Rostoker, and **Auld** (US Patent No. 5,686,965).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 13 and 15, Franaszek discloses, in reference to figs. 1, 2 and 3, a method for parallel compression and decompression, refer to col. 2, lines 35-47; and

Franaszek discloses, in reference to fig. 2, col. 2 line 51, the following:

Art Unit: 2666

- bitstream separated into blocks (b 1 221, b2 222, b3 223 and b4 224, called components);

- uses compression algorithm (col 1, lines 36-39) and encodes the blocks using compression algorithm (refer to col. 3, lines 25-27 and 62-64);
- in fig. 3, the compressed block is divided into sections by the splitter 330 (separating packets from the packetized bitstream);
- illustrating how a previously compressed block 260 is decompressed using parallel decompression, refer to col. 3, lines 16-17 and further, illustrates in fig. 7 parallel decompression and decodes the packets, refer to col. 5, lines 33-45;
- updating the corresponding portions (constructing the plurality of components from the recovered encoded data), refer to col. 5, lines 55-57; and
- consolidates the components via output data combiner 841 in fig. 8 (combining the plurality of components to recover the bit stream).

Franaszek does not disclose expressly a packet comprises header information and encoded data; and combining the packets into a packetized encoded bitstream;

Bigham discloses digital encoder MPEG-2 118, fig. 2, and transport stream packet (bit stream packet) which consists of header section and payload section and are identified by program identification number (packet comprises header information and encoded data), refer to col. 10, lines 59-64 and col. 11, lines 32-40. Further, Bigham discloses combined ATM bit

Art Unit: 2666

stream before transport to ATM edge multiplexer120 or SONET MUX122, refer to fig. 2 and refer to col. 11, lines 50-53.

Franaszek in view of Bigham does not disclose expressly encoding components of pixels using compression algorithm; and predictor in header information;

Rostoker discloses encoder estimates motion vectors for each 16x16 macroblock in the picture. Each macroblock consists of a 16-pixel by 16 line section of luminance *component* and two spatially corresponding 8-pixel by 8-line sections, one for each *chrominance component*. Motion vectors, which give the displacement from the stored previous picture, are encoded in the MPEG bitstream.

Further, Rostoker discloses "predict the occurrence of start codes 385 in the system bitstream 373 based on the length field of the previously occurring header 381, see step 408 of fig. 12" (predictor in the header information, as recited in amended claims 1,3,13 an15), refer to col. 15 lines 42-45;

Auld also discloses, "packet data containing emulation of sync codes of other bit stream data; system synchronization 62 will continue to **predict the occurrence of video sync code in** the bit stream (predictor), refer to col. 10 lines 46-67;

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Auld's two-part synchronization scheme, Rostoker's high speed digital network apparatus and Bigham's video distribution network into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to have packets used for parallel compression and decompression. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been obvious to have ATM packets which provide greater flexibility in enabling MPEG-2 encoding and synchronization. Further, it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2666

art to understand that scan lines inherently include pixels which inherently include components, as recited in specifications, refer to specification page 17 and lines 7-8. This combination will provide synchronization of data packets efficiently and reliably.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 14 and 16, Franaszek does not disclose bit stream digitized graphics or video frame; and the digitized graphics and video frames for display.

Bigham discloses graphics and video information in digital signals, refer to col. 4, lines 35-40, and col. 23 line 15; and digitized graphics and video for display, (refer to col. 31, lines 414.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Bigham's video distribution network into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide video and graphics in digital stream to facilitate parallel compression and Decompression. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to have ATM packets which provide greater flexibility in enabling MPEG-2 encoding.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 17-18, Franaszek discloses encoding the components using Lempel Ziv compression (Lossless compression algorithm), refer to col. 1, lines 35-38.

5. Claims 7, 10-12, 19, 23-26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franaszek et al (US Patent No.5,729,228), hereinafter Franaszek in view of Bigham (US Patent No. 5,544,161), and further in view of Rostoker et al (US Patent No.

Art Unit: 2666

5,872,784), hereinafter, Auld (US Patent No. 5,686,965)Rostoker and Schwartz et al (US Patent No. 5,717,394), hereinafter Schwartz.

Regarding claims 7, 10, 19 and 23, Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly constructing packets from the encoded components including both variable length and fixed length packets.

Schwartz discloses both variable length codewords (16 packets, fig. 4), refer to col. 28, lines 51-54, and fixed length packets, refer to col. 28, lines 56-57.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to remove bit level manipulation of the data stream and thus increase the speed of processing by parallelization method.

Regarding claims 11-12 and 24-25, Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly header information including tag; and distribution of packets to separate decode units on the basis of tag.

Schwartz discloses, in reference to fig. 3, a preface header containing pointers (tag) to the beginning of bit location of each bit stream ,refer to col. 8, lines 21-22; and retrieval of packets from the proper location via proper pointer (tag), refer to col. 8, lines 29-31.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Auld's system,

Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and

Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation

Art Unit: 2666

to do so would have been logical to remove bit level manipulation of the data stream and thus increase the speed of processing by parallelization method while maintaining efficiency of compression and decompression.

Regarding claim 26, Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly queue to receive packetized encoded data in bit stream.

Schwartz discloses the use of queue to allow ordered data stream, refer to col. 19 lines 59-64.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Auld's system, Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to remove bit level manipulation of the data stream and thus increase the speed of processing by parallelization method while maintaining efficiency of compression and decompression.

Regarding claim 32, Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly scan line as HDTV line.

Schwartz discloses HDTV as excellent choice for the system of his invention, refer to col. 56 and lines 25-35;

To a person of ordinary skill in the art, it is obvious to have scan line comprising HDTV line in HDTV system. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation

Art Unit: 2666

to do so would have been logical to include HDTV system application into video distribution

network. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use decoding

system coupled to compressed image data system in order to provide transformation and sub-

sampling portion of HDTV decoder.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 27-30 and 33 are allowed.

7. Claims 8-9, and 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30, 32-33 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claim 31 having been cancelled by the applicant

is noted.

Conclusion

9. Any enquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Inder Mehra whose

telephone number is (703)305-1985. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday through

Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be reached on (703)308-5463. Any

enquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or processing should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Inder Mehra

2/5/03

February 5, 2003

MELVIN MARCELO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mala

Page 8